

COURT NO. 1  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

14.

OA 465/2026

Wg Cdr P K Nandrajog (Retd) ..... Applicant  
Versus  
Union of India & Ors. .... Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Deepak Bansal, Advocate  
For Respondents : Mr. Jatin Teotia, Sr. CGSC

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER  
10.02.2026

Challenging the order dated 24.12.2025 passed by the Second Appellate Authority and the Release Medical Board directing the applicant to be re-examined by a medical board, this application has been filed by the applicant. The order passed by the Second Appellate Authority directing for holding the second appeal medical board reads as under:-

“2. In this connection, it is intimated that while processing your second appeal with the members of the SACP, the medical member of SACP has sanctioned for holding the Second Appeal Medical Board (AMB) vide 16050/AMB/DGAFMS/MA(Pen)/PKN dated 05 Dec 25 in your case for examining your medical conditions for grant of disability element of pension.

3. In view of the above, you are requested to send six passport size recent photographs duly attested on the backside to this Dte at the earliest to take up your case with the concerned medical authorities to convene your MAB at Bash Hospital Delh Cantt.”

2. Applicant challenges the same on the ground that observations of the Release Medical Board, particularly, the Specialist with regard to the physical and mental fitness of the applicant in A-2 pre-determines the attitude of the authority and therefore the RMB should not be conducted. The Observations of the SAMB clearly shows and after evaluating the disability of the applicant and finding the RMB conducted on 24.02.2023 not meeting the necessary medical specification, the Second Appellate Authority has directed for re-examination of the applicant by the SAMB(Special Appellate Medical Board).

3. In our considered view in doing so, the Competent medical board has not committed any error, the appellate boards have been created for evaluating the medical documents/condition of an individual while taking the decision, if the appellate board found that re-examination of the applicant is necessary for assessing his disability, we see no reason to interfere into the matter.

4. The application is, therefore, dismissed.

**[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]  
CHAIRPERSON**

**[REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG]  
MEMBER (A)**